A3 Summary Report: AP Lecturer Appointments & Reappointments

BACKGROUND

The Lecturer Appointment Process has evolved over the past years but the development of standardized work processes and clarity on the process steps has not been established with the Dean’s Office and Departments/Programs of CHASS.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The appointment process (new appointment and reappointment) of a lecturer is decentralized across all departments/programs of CHASS. The process is not standardized and the roles and responsibilities are different between departments and the role of the Dean’s Office. The results can lead to an administrative process that requires multiple steps, rework, and significant lead (process/delay) time.

CURRENT CONDITIONS

Process mapping of the New Lecturer and Reappointment Process highlights the significant delay time related to these processes and emphasizes a need to review the process to better serve the customers, reduce redundancy and eliminate waste.
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VOICE OF THE CUSTOMER

VOICE OF THE CUSTOMER: LECTURER AP PROCESS FEEDBACK ON DELAYS

The chart above indicates the common recommendations for suggested improvements in the college.

CLARITY represents the need for a protocol, procedure which would allow for less questions, less files to be sent back and less waste due to a streamlined process.

FORMS represent a need for improvement on the current forms; suggestions for improvement include use of drop down categories, more detailed instructions and guidelines to follow.

ONLINE SYSTEM represents a need for a centralized system which features an automated notifications to push the process forth to the next service provider reducing delay time and increasing response time through notifications, similar to the online system used for Summer Sessions.

HUMAN ERROR represents the need for improved guidelines and instructions through the process to increase accuracy.

STAFFING represents an inequity across CHASS departments who are short staffed and unable to respond effectively leading to delays.

TARGET CONDITIONS (GOALS)

- Organize i-Drive
- Review Process Checklist
- Eliminate Redundant Forms
- Standardize Service Provided by CHASS AP Analyst
- Create a Centralized Online System

Some of the questions answered that allowed these problematic areas to be addressed were:

- Were there any delays, and what do you interpret as reasons for delays in file preparation?
- Were any of the files sent back from the Dean’s Office to the department for revisions or/and updates?
- What are your suggestions for improving the process?
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